### Five families and a well: A new look at an old problem

#### Ezra Brown and Matthew Crawford Virginia Tech

MD/DC/VA Spring Section Meeting Roanoke College April 25, 2015

- The *Nine Chapters on the Mathematical Art*: anticipating modern methods of solving linear systems
- 5 Families, Chapter 8, Problem 13: five equations in six unknowns
- The smallest integer values for the longest well-rope and the well depth
- An "Aha!" moment
- Derangements and a conjecture
- Matt Crawford and a theorem
- Concluding thoughts

# The Jiuzhang suanshu, or Nine Chapters on the Mathematical Art

- Where and when: Ancient China, ca. 1st century CE.
- Contents: a practical handbook of mathematics consisting of 246 problems intended to provide methods to be used to solve everyday problems of engineering, surveying, trade, and taxation.
- Historical importance: standard methods and concepts that predate Western mathematics by many centuries, such as square roots, cube roots, arithmetic with negative numbers, and solving systems of linear equations using matrices and Gaussian elimination.

### Chapter 8, Problem 13: The Well Problem

- "Five families share a water well. Two of A's well-ropes are short of the water by one of B's ropes. Three of B's ropes are short of the water by one of C's ropes. Four of C's ropes are short of the water by one of D's ropes. Five of D's ropes are short of the water by one of E's ropes. Six of E's ropes are short of the water by one of A's ropes. Find the depth of the well and the length of each family's well-rope."
- We obtain the following system of 5 linear equations in 6 unknowns:

2A + B = w3B + C = w4C + D = w5D + E = w6E + A = w

• The solution method in the *Nine Chapters* anticipates Gaussian elimination by nearly two millennia.

• The smallest positive integers that give a solution to the problem are as follows:

• A = 265, B = 191, C = 148, D = 129, E = 76, and w = 721.

## "Wait a minute ... what's 265 doing in the middle of a problem from Ancient China?"

#### Generalizing the well problem

n = number of families A(n) = length of the longest rope w(n) = depth of the well

| n | A(n)   | w(n)   | (n+1)!/A(n) |
|---|--------|--------|-------------|
| 1 | 1      | 3      | 2.00000     |
| 2 | 2      | 5      | 3.00000     |
| 3 | 9      | 25     | 2.66666     |
| 4 | 44     | 119    | 2.72727     |
| 5 | 265    | 721    | 2.71698     |
| 6 | 1854   | 5039   | 2.71845     |
| 7 | 14833  | 40321  | 2.71826     |
| 8 | 133496 | 362881 | 2.71828     |

Spot any patterns?

- A *permutation* of  $\{1, ..., n\}$  (or an *n*-permutation) is an ordered arrangement of  $\{1, ..., n\}$ . There are *n*! such permutations.
- A *derangement* of  $\{1, ..., n\}$  (or an *n*-derangement) )is an *n*-permutation with no fixed elements.
- The permutation 24315 is not a derangement: neither 3 nor 5 have been moved.
- The permutation 41523 is a derangement: all five numbers have been moved.

- What are the derangements on two elements?
- ۲
- ۲
- ۲

• What are the derangements on two elements? Only 21:  $D_2 = 1$ .

- ۲
- ۲

- What are the derangements on two elements? Only 21:  $D_2 = 1$ .
- What are the derangements on three elements?
- ۲

- What are the derangements on two elements? Only 21:  $D_2 = 1$ .
- What are the derangements on three elements? 231 and 312:  $D_3 = 2$ .
- ۲

- What are the derangements on two elements? Only 21:  $D_2 = 1$ .
- What are the derangements on three elements? 231 and 312:  $D_3 = 2$ .
- The derangements on four elements: 2143, 2413, 2341, 3142, 3412, 3421, 4123, 4312, and 4321. Thus, *D*<sub>4</sub> = 9.

- What are the derangements on two elements? Only 21:  $D_2 = 1$ .
- What are the derangements on three elements? 231 and 312:  $D_3 = 2$ .
- The derangements on four elements: 2143, 2413, 2341, 3142, 3412, 3421, 4123, 4312, and 4321. Thus, *D*<sub>4</sub> = 9.
- It happens that D<sub>5</sub> = 44 and D<sub>6</sub> = 265 (that's right). So, we have a conjecture.

**Conjecture:** Let *n* be a positive integer. Then, for *n* families around a well, the length of the longest rope is equal to  $D_{n+1}$ .

Let  $D_n$  be the number of *n*-derangements. Then  $D_1 = 0, D_2 = 1$ , and for  $n \ge 2$ , the following statements hold:

$$D_{n+1} = (n+1)D_n + (-1)^{n+1}$$
$$D_{n+1} = n(D_n + D_{n-1})$$
$$D_n = n! \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{k}$$

Enter Matt Crawford.

### Matt's proof

Let  $F_n$  be the least integer length of the longest rope for n families around a well. Then





which reduces to

$$F_n = (n+1)! \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}$$

In short,  $F_n = D_{n+1}$ , and the conjecture is a theorem!

- The problem of counting derangements first dates from Pierre Raymond de Montmort's *Essay d'analyse sur les jeux de hazard*, published in 1708.
- He and Nicholas Bernoulli independently solved the problem around 1713.
- But the *Nine Chapters* predates this work by more than 1600 years.
- And apparently nobody noticed.

- The problem of counting derangements first dates from Pierre Raymond de Montmort's *Essay d'analyse sur les jeux de hazard*, published in 1708.
- He and Nicholas Bernoulli independently solved the problem around 1713.
- But the *Nine Chapters* predates this work by more than 1600 years.
- And apparently nobody noticed. Until now.

- Historians of mathematics have studied the *Nine Chapters* for a long time.
- Roger Hart's excellent and comprehensive 2011 historical treatise on the *Nine Chapters* devotes 40 pages plus an entire appendix to the Well Problem alone but with no mention of derangements.
- Perhaps nobody ever looked at this problem through the eyes of a combinatorialist.

- Historians of mathematics have studied the *Nine Chapters* for a long time.
- Roger Hart's excellent and comprehensive 2011 historical treatise on the *Nine Chapters* devotes 40 pages plus an entire appendix to the Well Problem alone but with no mention of derangements.
- Perhaps nobody ever looked at this problem through the eyes of a combinatorialist. Until now.

Is this a new observation? I don't know. But it got me excited and it got Matt involved in research. And Matt proved a theorem.

Maybe that's enough.

### **THANK YOU!**